

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,440	07/28/2003	David J. Laws	T8889.CIP	5739	
20551	7590 01/06/2006		EXAMINER		
	ORTH & WESTERN, 700 EAST, SUITE 200	CHEN, JOSE V			
SANDY, UT	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637		

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action S			10/629,440		LAWS ET AL.			
		ummary	Examiner		Art Unit			
			José V. Che	n 🗎 📗	3637			
Period fo		this communication appo	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, F nsions of time may be available under SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing operated abover to reply within the set or extending the set of extending the set of the set of extending the set of the set of extending the set of the	e, the maximum statutory period wided period for reply will, by statute, han three months after the mailing	TE OF THIS 6(a). In no event, ill apply and will e cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				·				
1)[🛛	Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on <u>20 Oc</u>	ctober 2005.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	· · ·	action is nor	-final.		•		
3)		s in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,۵	• •	: vith the practice under <i>E</i> :						
.		· ·						
Dispositi	on of Claims			:				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pe	nding in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are a	allowed.	•					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rej	ected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are	objected to.	•	· :				
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers		:	•				
9)□	The specification is obje	ected to by the Examiner	r. ,	•				
, —	•	is/are: a) acce		objected to by the E	Examiner.			
<i>,</i> —	~··	t that any objection to the c						
		eet(s) including the correcti				FR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Deioeity .	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	<i>.</i> :	•	1				
-	_	<i>:</i> [:					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	• •	the International Bureau			.i			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)	, ,						
	e of References Cited (PTO-	892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Di	awing Review (PTO-948)	_	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte	0.453)		
	mation Disclosure Statement(er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/03/03</u> .	s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Notice of Informal P Other:	atent Application (PT	U-102)		

Application/Control Number: 10/629,440

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of figs. 12-15, claims 1-22 in the reply filed on 10/20/05 is acknowledged.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-22 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,598,544.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims teach a locking mechanism for a support leg

Art Unit: 3637

hingedly attached to a support surface including a base, coupler, engagement mechanism.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Baum, Gonzalez teach structure similar to applicant's.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José V. Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-6865. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f,m-th 5:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571)272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

José V. Chen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3637

Chen/jvc

Application/Control Number: 10/629,440

Art Unit: 3637

01-04-06

Page 4